

Dialogue management: dialog state tracking

Milica Gašić

Dialogue Systems and Machine Learning Group,
Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf

In this lecture...

Generative approaches to belief tracking

Hidden Information State (HIS) dialogue model

Bayesian Update of Dialogue State (BUDS) model

Example dialogue

Hello, how may I help you?

I'm looking for a Thai restaurant.

inform(type=restaurant, food=Thai)

What part of town do you have in mind?

Something in the centre.

inform(area=centre)

Bangkok city is a nice place, it is in the centre of town and it serves Thai food.

What's the address?


request(address)

Bangkok city is a nice place, their address is 24 Green street.

Thank you, bye.

bye()

Elements of dialogue management



Challenges in dialogue modelling


- ▶ How to define the state space?
- ▶ How to tractably maintain the dialogue state?
- ▶ Which actions to take?

Solution: Define dialogue as a **control problem** where the behaviour can be automatically learned.


Dialogue management as Markov decision process



- ▶ Dialogue states
- ▶ Reward – a measure of dialogue quality



- ▶ Markov decision process



- ▶ Optimal system actions

Theory: Bayesian networks

- ▶ Bayesian network is a directed acyclic graph where nodes represent random variables and the arrows represent conditional independence assumption.
- ▶ Dynamic Bayesian network is a Bayesian network which repeats its structure at each point in time.


Theory: Markov decision process

s_t dialogue states


a_t system actions

r_t rewards


$p(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t)$ transition
probability




Dialogue as a Markov decision process




Dialogue as a Markov decision process



Dialogue management as partially observable Markov decision process



- ▶ Noisy observations of dialogue state
- ▶ Reward – a measure of dialogue quality




- ▶ Partially observable Markov decision process




- ▶ Distribution over possible dialogue states – **belief state**
- ▶ Optimal system actions

Dialogue as a partially observable Markov decision process



Dialogue management



Generative vs discriminative models in belief tracking

Discriminative models: the state depends on the observation


$$b(s_t) = p(s_t|o_t)$$

Generative models: the state generates the observation

$$b(s_t) = \frac{p(s_t, o_t)}{\sum_{s_t} p(s_t, o_t)} \propto p(o_t|s_t)p(s_t)$$


Partially observable Markov decision process

- ▶ State generates a noisy observation
 $p(o_t | s_t)$ – the **observation probability**



- ▶ State is unobservable and depends on the previous state and the action:
 $p(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t)$ – the **transition probability**

Belief state tracking



$$b(s_{t+1}) \propto p(o_{t+1}|s_{t+1}) \sum_{s_t} p(s_{t+1}|a_t, s_t) b(s_t)$$

Requires summation over all possible states at every dialogue turn
– **intractable!**

Practical examples of POMDP systems

- ▶ POMDPs are normally intractable for everything but very simple cases
- ▶ However there are approximations which enable their use for real-world dialogue domains

Hidden Information State (HIS) system [Young et al., 2010]

Bayesian Update of Dialogue State (BUDS) system

[Thomson and Young, 2010]

Requirements for belief tracking

Dialogue history The system needs to keep track of what happened so far in the dialogue. This is normally done via the **Markov property**.

Task-orientated dialogue The system needs to know what the user wants. This is modelled via the **user goal**.

Robustness to errors The system needs to know what the user says. This is modelled via the **user act**.


Dialogue state factorisation

Decompose
dialogue state into
conditionally
independent
elements


user goal g_t

user action u_t

dialogue history d_t



Belief update



$$\begin{aligned} b(g_{t+1}, u_{t+1}, d_{t+1}) = & \\ & p(o_{t+1} | u_{t+1}) \cdot \\ & p(u_{t+1} | g_{t+1}, a_t) \cdot \\ & \sum_{g_t} p(g_{t+1} | a_t, g_t) \cdot \\ & \sum_{d_t, u_t} p(d_{t+1} | d_t, g_{t+1}, u_{t+1}, a_t) \cdot \\ & b(g_t, u_t, d_t) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Requires summation over all possible goals – **intractable!**
- ▶ Requires summation over all possible histories and user actions – **intractable!**

Hidden Information State (HIS) dialogue state

Observation:
N-best list of
user acts



O_{t1}

O_{t2}



O_{tN}

User Goal:
Partitions of the goal space
built according to ontology



Dialogue history:
Grounding
states

d_{t1}

d_{t2}



d_{tD}

Hypotheses:
Every combination of user act,
partition and history

$h_{1=(O_{t1}, p_{t1}, d_{t1})}$

$h_{2=(O_{t2}, p_{t1}, d_{t2})}$



$h_{1=(O_{tN}, p_{tP}, d_{tD})}$

Belief state: Distribution over most likely hypotheses

HIS partitions

System: How may I help you?

request(task)


User: I'd like a restaurant in the centre.

inform(entity=venue,type=restaurant, area centre)


entity=venue

area=centre


type=restaurant



Pruning



Pruning




entity=venue 0.9

area=centre 0.5

Bayesian update of dialogue state model

- ▶ Further decomposes the dialogue state
- ▶ Produces tractable belief state update
- ▶ Transition and observation probability distributions can be parametrised and their shape learned

Bayesian network in the BUDS model



Belief tracking in the BUDS model


For each node x

- ▶ Start on one side and keep getting $p(x|O_a)$
- ▶ Then start on the other side and keep getting $p(O_b|x)$
- ▶ To get a marginal simply multiply these

Simple example

$p(o g)$	o : Thai	o : Turk.
g : Thai	0.8	0.2
g : Turk.	0.2	0.8

$p(g' g)$	g' : Thai	g' : Turk.
g : Thai	0.9	0.1
g : Turk.	0.1	0.9



Learning of the shape of distributions



Expectation propagation

- ▶ Allows parameter tying
- ▶ Handles factorised hidden variables
- ▶ Handles large state spaces
- ▶ Does not require annotations but uses the output of the semantic decoder

Summary

- ▶ Properties of belief tracking for dialogue management include Markov assumption, being able to model the user goal and being robust to speech recognition errors
- ▶ Generative models for belief tracking are based on partially observable Markov decision processes
- ▶ Hidden Information State (HIS) model decomposes the dialogue state into the user goal, the user action and the dialogue history. Transitions are hand-crafted and the goals are grouped together to allow tractable belief tracking
- ▶ Bayesian Update of Dialogue State (BUDS) model further factorises the state which allows tractable belief tracking but also learning of the shapes of distributions via Expectation propagation

References

-  Thomson, B. and Young, S. (2010).
Bayesian update of dialogue state: A POMDP framework for spoken dialogue systems.
Computer Speech and Language, 24(4):562–588.
-  Young, S., Gašić, M., Keizer, S., Mairesse, F., Schatzmann, J., Thomson, B., and Yu, K. (2010).
The Hidden Information State model: A practical framework for POMDP-based spoken dialogue management.
Computer Speech and Language, 24(2):150–174.